		UNITED S	STATES DISTRIC	CT COURT	FILED U.S. DISTRICT COURT	
			District of	NEF	BRASKA	
		UNITED STATES OF AMERICA			2007 JAN 31 PM 4: 31	
		<b>v.</b>	ORDER	OF DETENTION	ON PENDING TRIAL	
		CARLOS VEGA-TOSCANO	Case	4:07CR3023	VITIME OF THE GLERN	
dete	In ac ention	Defendant ccordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § n of the defendant pending trial in this case.	3142(f), a detention hearing has	been held. I conclude	that the following facts require the	
			Part I—Findings of Fact			
	(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense descrit or local offense that would have been a federal of a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C.  an offense for which the maximum sentence of the control	offense if a circumstance giving ri § 3156(a)(4). to is life imprisonment or death.	se to federal jurisdiction	f a ☐ federal offense ☐ state on had existed that is	
an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in						
	(3)	a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.  The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.  A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1).  Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.				
Х	(1)	Them is and the second of the second state of	Alternative Findings (A)	1 1		
ſ	(1)	There is probable cause to believe the for which a maximum term of imfunder 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	nat the defendant has com aprisonment of ten years of	mitted an offense or 21 U.S.C. S	ec 801 et seq	
X	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding I that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.				
			Alternative Findings (B)			
		There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.  There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.				
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
		Dort II W	sister Ctatament of D			
dera		d that the credible testimony and information sub of the evidence that	itten Statement of Reasons formitted at the hearing establishes be		nvincing evidence a prepon-	
	<del></del>	The The				
		Doub III	T. Dimentiana December Dec			
to ti reas Gov	ne ex onabi ernm	defendant is committed to the custody of the Attornatent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving the opportunity for private consultation with defendent, the person in charge of the corrections facilitation with a court proceeding.	ng sentences or being held in cust nse counsel. On order of a court	esentative for confinement tody pending appeal. t of the United States of	The defendant shall be afforded a	
		1-31-07	A Vamil	2/11	)	
	,-	Date	, ,	ature of Judicial Officer		
				iester, U.S. Magistrate ad Title of Judicial Offi		

<sup>\*</sup>Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).